Does Topical Application of Bupivacaine (marcaine) to Skin Graft Donor Site Have Any Effect on Moriarty Sign?
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ABSTRACT

Moriarty sign designates that when split skin donor site is more painful than recipient site, good graft take is likely. This prospective study was designed for the dual purpose of confirming the validity of Moriarty sign and to determine if bupivacaine topical anaesthetic application to split skin donor site will influence the sign. The difference in response to pain indicated by the Moriarty sign between patients that had topical analgesic treatment and those that did not was statistically significant from day one to day five post operatively. Routine application of long acting topical analgesic to donor site is advocated (Nig J Surg Res 2000; 2:131-134).
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Introduction

The finding that split skin donor sites are painful and more so than the recipient site has in the past prompted the trial of topical agents with the aim of relieving the discomfort that follows skin grafting. Owen and Dye for example obtained statistically significant improvement in pain relief without affecting wound healing in a randomly controlled prospective trial using 2% lignocaine gel. Negative Moriarty sign denotes that the recipient site of partial thickness skin graft is more painful than the donor site. This situation is the reverse of what normally obtains, which is that the donor site is more painful than the area grafted. The Moriarty sign designates that when split skin donor site is more painful than recipient site, good graft take is likely.

This study was conducted for the purpose of confirming the validity of Moriarty sign, and also to determine if bupivacaine topical anaesthetic application will influence the sign.

Patients and Method

Fifty three cases of partial thickness skin grafting of a mixed group of age, sex, and ethnicity, were studied prospectively at the Department of Surgery, University College Hospital, Ibadan, over a period of 21 months from January 1997. They were entered consecutively; Group I consisted of the first 26 patients who had grafts harvested from them without post operative bupivacaine pain control while the last 27 patients who had their donor sites treated with bupivacaine made up group II. Randomization into each group was not carried out because the initial purpose of the study was to confirm the validity of Moriarty sign only. These patients underwent split skin grafting for several indications using modified Humby’s graft knife. All grafts were fenestrated and
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Results

Patients' age range was 9 to 63 years. The commonest indication for skin grafting was the burn wound 16 (30%). Other indications were other forms of trauma 12 (23%), complications of wound healing (scars, contractures) 12 (23%), chronic ulcers 6 (12%) and excised malignancy 6(12%). The mean (S.D) surface grafted expressed as percent of total body surface was 4.4 (3.1) in the group that did not have donor site topical treatment with bupivacaine, and 3.1 (2.7) in the group that had topical treatment.

Graft take on 5th postoperative day was >95% in most cases(37, 69%), 81% - 95% in others (14, 27%) and <81% in 2 (4%). The least graft take was 70%. Reasons for less than 100% take were seromas, haematomas, infection, and movement of dressings, which caused displacement of graft on the recipient bed.

Discussion

Moriarty negative sign, that is, greater pain experienced at recipient than at donor site, has
APPLICATION OF BUPIVACAINE TO SKIN GRAFT DONOR SITE AND MORIARTY SIGN

been demonstrated previously to suggest that the graft is unlikely to take 100%. The validity of this statement is confirmed in the present report. The reason for an incomplete graft take may be found in an infection, which produces the pain of an inflammatory process thereby rendering the recipient site more painful than the donor site. Other causes of graft failure like haematomas and seromas may for the same reason produce significant pain at the graft bed to overshadow the pain at the donor site.

The statistically significant difference in response to pain between those that had topical analgesic and those that did not is an indication of the usefulness of topical analgesics in the treatment of skin graft donor sites. Many local anaesthetics have been marketed since Koller first introduced the use of local anaesthetics into medicine in 1884. Since then advance including addition of adrenaline for reduced systemic toxicity and the synthesis of amides such as lignocaine, and longer acting bupivacaine, for improved anaesthetic efficacy and reduced allergenicity have taken place. Similar progress in administration techniques such as that found in the use of gels for enhanced and prolonged local action now make it possible for such local anaesthetics to be used on skin surface.

Bupivacaine provides better postoperative pain relief by acting longer than most local anaesthetic agents after a single application. It is a highly lipid soluble local anaesthetic although the onset of action is slower compared to lignocaine. One main advantage of bupivacaine is its ability to produce differential neuronal blockade resulting in more sensory than motor blockade particularly at low concentrations. The low dosage of 25-50 milligrams used in this study in each case constantly produced enough pain relief to cause Moriarty negative response, this notwithstanding the skin donor surface extent. Systemic toxicity is most unlikely when used at this low dosage. Bupivacaine has a potent protein-binding characteristic. Ninety percent of a given dose binds to protein. This protein-binding characteristic determines the duration of action of a local anaesthetic. Thus bupivacaine which binds to plasma protein to a greater degree than lignocaine has a longer action by a factor of two to three. A few pain free hours of recovery from skin grafting is invaluable. This can be achieved more with bupivacaine than by lignocaine.

Interestingly, bupivacaine application significantly altered the Moriarty sign rendering a relatively pain free donor site for all of the five days after grafting that were considered in this study. This duration is in excess of the three to four hours recorded in literature and up to 12 hours for some nerve blocks. Even when bupivacaine was used as part of a balanced preemptive analgesic technique, the duration of action was far less than the 5 days in this study. This atypical duration requires further investigation.
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