Clinical Pharmacology: Advances and Applications

Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Assessment of prescribed medications and pattern of distribution for potential drug–drug interactions among chronic kidney disease patients attending the Nephrology Clinic of Lagos University Teaching Hospital in Sub-Saharan West Africa

Olumuyiwa John Fasipe¹ Peter Ehizokhale Akhideno² Obiyo Nwaiwu³ Alex Adedotun Adelosoye⁴

¹Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, University of Medical Sciences, Ondo City, Ondo State, ²Department of Internal Medicine, Irrua Specialist Teaching Hospital, Irruar, Edo State, ³Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, University of Lagos, Yaba, Lagos State, ⁴Department of Family Medicine, University of Medical Sciences, Ondo City, Ondo State, Nigeria

Correspondence: Olumuyiwa John Fasipe Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, University of Medical Sciences, Laje Road, Ondo City, Ondo State, Nigeria Tel +234 80 3509 8261 Email fasipe.olumuyiwa@yahoo.com



Introduction: Life expectancy has increased significantly among chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients due to the extensive use of polypharmacy practice for medication prescriptions. This predisposes them to potential drug–drug interactions (DDIs), which can lead to an increase in morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, and health care cost.

Methods: This was a 30-month retrospective study that reviewed the medical case records of consenting adult CKD patients from January 2014 to June 2016. The Medscape drug reference database was used to evaluate patients' medications for potential DDIs.

Results: This study involved 123 adult CKD patients (63 [51.22%] males and 60 [48.78%] females) with a mean age of 53.81±16.03 years. The most common comorbid conditions were hypertension (112 [91.10%]) and diabetes mellitus (45 [36.60%]). Regarding the form of nephrological interventions being offered, the majority of the respondents - 66 (53.66%) were on maintenance dialysis, followed by 53 (43.09%) respondents on conservative care, while 4 (3.25%) respondents were on renal transplantation. A total of 1264 prescriptions were made, and the mean number of prescribed medications per patient was 10.28±3.85. The most frequently prescribed medications were furosemide (88 [71.6%]), heparin (67 [54.47%]), lisinopril (65 [52.9%]), oral calcium carbonate (CaCO₂) (63 [51.2%]), α -calcidol (62 [50.4%]), and erythropoietin (61 [49.6%]). A total number of 1851 potential DDIs were observed among 118 patients. The prevalence of potential DDIs in this study was 78.0%, while the mean DDI per prescription was 1.50. Among the potential DDIs observed, the severity was mild in 639 (34.5%) patients, moderate in 1160 (62.7%) patients, and major in 51 (2.8%) patients and only 1 (0.1%) patient was of contraindicated drug combination. The most frequent DDIs' pattern observed was between oral CaCO, and oral ferrous sulfate. There was a statistically significant association between the number of prescribed medications and the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR; pre-ESRD and ESRD staging) with a P-value of 0.00000119. This implies that the number of prescribed medications increases as the eGFR declines in advance CKD stage patients. Conclusion: Most of these interactions have moderate severity and delayed onset, hence the need to follow-up these patients after prescription in order to reduce associated morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, and health care cost. Physicians and clinical pharmacists should utilise available interaction software to avoid harmful DDIs in these patients.

Keywords: potential, drug–drug interactions, chronic kidney disease, polypharmacy, prescribed medications, pattern of distribution, Medscape interaction checker

Clinical Pharmacology: Advances and Applications 2017:9 125-132

125

with the function of the set of t

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) can be defined as a progressive and irreversible deterioration in the renal function of an individual over a period of at least 3 months regardless of the underlying etiology.¹ In Nigeria and worldwide, poorly controlled chronic hypertension, poorly controlled diabetes mellitus, and HIV-associated nephropathy among others are the common causes of CKD.2,3 Although efficacy, compliance, and economic factors are considered in selecting drugs for treating patients with CKD, these patients are usually on polypharmacy (ie, taking more than five different drugs for the treatment of a particular disease or group of diseases) with attendant risk for drug-drug interactions (DDIs) and adverse drug reactions (ADRs).^{3,4} The influences of the disease on drugs' pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics parameters increase the risk for DDIs and ADRs; these further compound the problems of patients with CKD and may further increase morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, and health care cost among them.^{5,6}

CKD is a major public health problem due to its increasing incidence, prevalence, and associated high burden. The global prevalence of CKD is estimated to be 11–13%.^{7,8} The prevalence of CKD in Nigeria varied between 11.4% and 18.8% from both community- and hospital-based studies.^{2–5} Cardiovascular disease burden in CKD patients is high and associated with increased hospitalization, morbidity, and mortality.^{6–8}

Cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, anemia, calcium-phosphate abnormalities, hyperuricemia, and left ventricular hypertrophy are highly prevalent in CKD.^{9,10} These are largely responsible for cardiovascular disease burden and some complications in CKD patients. Management of these cardiovascular diseases and risk factors is important in retarding progression of CKD and reducing mortality.^{7,9} This involves the use of multiple drug combination therapy in the management of CKD and its attendant complication, and hence polypharmacy is often practiced. The consequences of polypharmacy include poor patients' medication compliance due to high pill burden, increased cost of care, and most importantly DDI, which may have deleterious effects on patients.

DDI can be defined as an appreciably harmful or beneficial process whereby the pharmacological effect of a drug is directly or indirectly influenced and modified by the presence of another drug, which can result in either treatment failure (antagonistic interaction) or drug-induced toxicity (synergistic/additive interaction).¹¹ It may result from a number of processes, which is related to either pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic properties of the drugs. Consequences of DDIs include increase or decrease in the efficacy of treatment and ADRs.

DDIs are major clinical problem, accounting for 2–6% of all hospital admissions with estimated annual cost to the National Health Service of ~£500 million in the UK.¹² In a meta-analysis of 39 prospective studies from hospital in the USA, it was shown that DDIs ranked fourth to sixth as a leading cause of death.¹³ Al-Hajje et al¹⁴ also reported that drug interactions were the most common drug-related problems in hospitalized medical patients in the University Hospital of Beirut.

The prevalence of potential DDIs in CKD patients from previous reports ranged between 76.1% and 89.1%.^{15–20} This relatively high prevalence is related to the polypharmacy that is involved in the management of this condition. It is associated with increased morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, and health care cost.^{21–25} These added burdens of harmful DDIs and its consequences in CKD patients are preventable because of their predictable nature. Various software are available that can detect potential DDIs such as British National Formulary, Drug Interaction Facts, Medscape, Epocrates, Lexi-Interact, Harmavista, and Stockley's Drug Interactions.

The clinical pharmacists also have major role in preventing DDI by evaluating physicians' prescriptions for possible DDI.^{14,26} Therefore, integrated professional interaction should be encouraged between nephrologist/physicians and clinical pharmacists in order to optimize CKD patients' care. Vigilance by health care workers such as clinicians, pharmacists, and nurses in detecting, diagnosing, and reporting drug interactions, particularly in at risk individuals such as CKD patients, is also vital for continued drug safety monitoring.

This study was designed to assess the prescribed medications and pattern of distribution for potential DDIs among CKD patients attending the Nephrology Clinic of Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH) in Sub-Saharan West Africa. This will create awareness on the burden of potential DDIs in renal practice and will bring to limelight the need to regularly evaluate prescriptions of CKD patients for any medication-related problems.

Methods

This study was a retrospective study carried out at the Nephrology Clinic of LUTH in Sub-Saharan West Africa. It receives referral from within and outside the state.

A total of 123 consented adult CKD patients who were being managed at the center over a 30-month period between January 2014 and June 2016 were recruited for the study. Patients below the age of 18 years, those being managed

Potential drug-drug interactions among chronic kidney disease patients

for acute kidney injury, and adult CKD patients who did not grant their informed consent were excluded from the study. The medical case records of all the adult CKD patients were retrieved after a verbal informed consent was obtained from each of them, and the following information was extracted using a pro forma: sociodemographic data, stage of CKD, number and list of medications at the time of last clinic visit for outpatients and at the time of discharge for those who received in-patient care, number and list of comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, HIV infection, stroke, and heart failure. The serum creatinine was used to calculate the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) using Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula, and CKD staging was done using eGFR as follows: stage 1 (eGFR of \geq 90 mL/min with evidence of kidney damage), stage 2 (eGFR of 60-89 mL/min with or without evidence of kidney damage), stage 3 (eGFR of 30-59 mL/min with or without evidence of kidney damage), stage 4 (eGFR of 15-29 mL/min with or without evidence of kidney damage), and stage 5 (eGFR <15 mL/min with or without evidence of kidney damage).27

The Medscape drug reference database was used to evaluate patients' medication regimen for potential DDIs. Medscape drug reference database has been reported to show the best results in precision analysis.²⁸ The Medscape drug reference database system provides accurate information about the risk, type, mechanism, and pattern of distribution of potential DDIs. It also gives recommendation(s) on how to prevent and manage DDIs if they occur. The software identifies and classifies DDIs according to their level of clinical significance in categories. These categories are types A, B, C, D, and X:

- 1. Type A: no known interaction
- 2. Type B: minor or mild interaction
- 3. Type C: moderate or significant interaction
- 4. Type D: major or serious interaction
- 5. Type X: contraindication or avoid combination

The mean potential DDI per prescription was obtained by dividing the total number of DDIs by the total number of prescriptions.

Ethical consideration and maintenance of participants' confidentiality

Ethical clearance for the study was obtained from the Health Research Ethical Committee of LUTH.

In addition, a verbal informed consent was obtained from each of the adult CKD patients whose medical case records were used, while the medical case records of those who did not grant their informed consent were excluded from the study. Participants' confidentiality were respected and maintained by ensuring that no unauthorized person has access to the information on the questionnaires, no information can be traced to the respondents (as numbering system was used for the questionnaires instead of writing the patients' names on them), and no unauthorized use of information was made. Effort was made to ensure that no harm was done to the respondents. Those who were at risk of harmful potential DDIs were monitored closely, and feasible alternative prescriptions were made where the concomitant risk and adverse effects outweigh the benefits.

Data analysis

Data generated were analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences version 17 (released 2008; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results were presented in tabular form. Discrete variables were presented as frequency and percentages. Continuous variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

Results

This study involved 123 CKD patients (63 [51.22%] males and 60 [48.78%] females). The mean age of the study subjects was 53.81 ± 16.03 years. A total of 48 (39.0%) patients were between 18 and 49 years, 52 (42.3%) patients were between 50 and 69 years, and the remaining 23 (18.7%) patients were 70 years and older (Table 1).

A total of 53 (43.09%) study subjects had tertiary education, 36 (29.3%) subjects had secondary education, 18 (14.6%) subjects had primary education, and 16 (13.0%) subjects had no formal education. A total of 86 (69.9%) study subjects were in CKD stage 5, 15 (12.2%) subjects were in CKD stage 4, 19 (15.5%) subjects were in CKD stage 3, 2 (1.6%) subjects were in CKD stage 2, and the remaining 1 subject was in CKD stage 1 (Table 1).

Regarding the form of nephrological interventions being offered, majority of the respondents - 66 (53.66%) were on maintenance dialysis, followed by 53 (43.09%) subjects on conservative care, while 4 (3.25%) subjects were on renal transplantation (Table 1).

The most common comorbid conditions were hypertension in 112 (91.10%) patients and diabetes mellitus in 45 (36.60%) patients. Other conditions were heart failure in 11 (8.9%) patients, HIV in 7 (5.7%) patients, and stroke in 5 (4.1%) patients (Table 1).

A total number of 1264 medications were prescribed for the study subjects, and the mean number of prescribed medi-

127

Characteristics	N (%)/mean ± SD		
Gender			
Male	63 (51.22)		
Female	60 (48.78)		
Mean age (years)	53.81±16.03		
Age group			
20–49 years	48 (39.0)		
50–69 years	52 (42.3)		
≥70 years	23 (18.7)		
Level of education			
No formal education	16 (13.0)		
Primary	18 (14.6)		
Secondary	36 (29.3)		
Tertiary	53 (43.1)		
CKD stage			
I	I (0.8)		
2	2 (1.6)		
3	19 (15.5)		
4	15 (12.2)		
5	86 (69.9)		
Comorbidities			
Hypertension	112 (91.10)		
Diabetes mellitus	45 (36.60)		
Heart failure	(8.9)		
HIV	7 (5.7)		
Stroke	5 (4.1)		
Mean prescribed medication per patient	10.28±3.85		
Number of prescribed medications			
≤5	18 (14.6)		
6–10	43 (35.0)		
11–15	51 (41.5)		
≥16	(8.9)		
Form of nephrological interventions			
Maintenance dialysis	66 (53.66)		
Conservative care	53 (43.09)		
Renal transplantation	4 (3.25)		

Abbreviations: CKD, chronic kidney disease; SD, standard deviation.

cations per patient was 10.28 ± 3.85 . A total of 18 (14.6%) CKD subjects were on ≤ 5 medications, 43 (35.0%) subjects were on 6-10 medications, 51 (41.5%) subjects were on 11-15 medications, and 11 (8.9%) subjects were on ≥ 16 medications (Table 1).

The most frequently prescribed medications were furosemide (88 [71.6%]), heparin (67 [54.47%]), lisinopril (65 [52.9%]), oral calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) (63 [51.2%]), α -calcidol (62 [50.4%]), erythropoietin (61 [49.6%]), intravenous iron sucrose (60 [48.78%]), amlodipine (56 [45.5%]), hydrochlorthiazide (53 [43.1%]), folic acid (53 [43.1%]), and oral ferrous sulfate (OFS) (50 [40.7%]) (Table 2).

A total number of 1851 potential interactions were identified among 118 (95.9%) subjects while 5 (4.1%) subjects had no known interaction (type A). The mean DDI

Table 2 List of most frequently prescribed medications

Medications	N (%)		
Furosemide	88 (71.6)		
Heparin	67 (54.47)		
Lisinopril	65 (52.9)		
Oral calcium carbonate	63 (51.22)		
Alfacalcidol	62 (50.4)		
Erythropoeitin	61 (49.6)		
Intravenous iron sucrose	60 (48.8)		
Amlodipine	56 (45.5)		
Hydrochlorthiazide	53 (43.1)		
Folic acid	53 (43.1)		
Oral ferrous sulfate	50 (40.7)		
Astyfer (multivitamins)	47 (38.2)		
Vitamin C	40 (32.5)		
Alpha-methyldopa	32 (26.0)		
Atorvastatin	30 (24.4)		
Insulin	25 (20.3)		
Valsartan	24 (19.5)		

per prescription was 1.5, while the prevalence of potential DDIs was 78.0%. Twenty-nine (23.6%) subjects had 6–10 interactions, 26 (21.1%) subjects had 11–15 interactions, while 16 (13.0%) subjects had 21–25 interactions (Table 3). The range for the number of potential interactions among the 118 respondents was 1–85 interactions with a mean value of 15.69 ± 11.00 interactions per patient.

A total of 639 (34.5%) subjects were of mild severity (type B), 1160 (62.7%) subjects were of moderate severity (type C), 51 (2.8%) subjects were of major severity (type D), and only 1 (0.1%) subject was of contraindicated/avoid drug combination (type X) (Table 4). The contraindicated/avoid drug combination occurred between intravenous calcium gluconate and intravenous ceftriaxone.

A total of 960 (52%) DDIs were pharmacokinetic interactions, while the remaining 891 (48%) DDIs were pharmacodynamic interactions (Figure 1).

Regarding the pattern of distribution for DDIs among the respondents, a total number of 405 different patterns of interactions were observed with the most frequent DDIs' pattern occurring between oral CaCO₃ and OFS (184 [9.94%]), which belonged to pharmacokinetic type B and type C interaction categories. Other frequently occurring patterns of interactions were between folic acid and furosemide (63 [3.40%]), α -calcidol and CaCO₃ (60 [3.24%]), OFS and vitamin E (56 [3.03%]), furosemide and lisinopril (49 [2.65%]), CaCO₃ and furosemide (49 [2.65%]), furosemide and hydrochlorthiazide (HCTZ) (42 [2.27%]), heparin and vitamin E (35 [1.89%]), folic acid and HCTZ (35 [1.89%]), heparin and lisinopril (34 [1.84%]), amlodipine and CaCO₃ (32 [1.73%]), and CaCO₃ and lisinopril (31 [1.67%]) (Table 5).

 Table 3 Number of drug-drug interactions (DDIs), and test for associations between parameters for the study population

(A) Number of drug-drug interactions (DDIs) for the study population		
Number of DDIs	N (%) 5 (4.1%)	
None		
1–5	15 (12.2%)	
6–10	29 (23.6%)	
11–15	26 (21.1%)	
16–20	15 (12.2%)	
21–25	16 (13.0%)	
≥26	17 (13.8%)	

(B) Test for association between the number of prescribed medications and the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) for the study population

	Pre-ESRD Stage (eGFR ≥ 15ml/min)	ESRD Stage (eGFR 0–14ml/min)
I – 5 Medications	13	5
6 – 10 Medications	18	25
II – I5 Medications	5	46
≥16 Medications	I	10

(C) Test for association between the number of prescribed medications and the occurrence of DDIs among the study population

	DDIs present	DDIs absent
I-5 medications	14	4
≥6 medications	104	I

Notes: (**A**) Mean DDIs=15.69±11.00 interactions per patient. (**B**) Chi-X² =30.31, df =3, P-value =0.00000119 (significant), critical value=7.815, α =0.05. (**C**)Chi-X² =17.83, df =1, P-value = 0.00002421 (significant), critical value=3.841, α =0.05. **Abbreviation:** ESRD, end stage renal disease.

Table 4 Severity of drug-drug interactions

	F	
Severity of DDIs	Frequency (%)	
Type B (mild severity)	639 (34.5)	
Type C (moderate severity)	1160 (62.7)	
Type D (major severity)	51 (2.7)	
Type X (contraindicated)	I (0.I)	

Abbreviation: DDIs, drug-drug interactions.

In this study, there was a statistically significant association between the number of prescribed medications and the eGFR (pre-end stage renal disease [pre-ESRD] and ESRD staging) for the respondents with a *P*-value of 0.00000119. This implies that the number of prescribe medications increases as the eGFR declines in advance CKD stage patients (Table 3). In addition, there was also a statistically significant association between the number of prescribed medications and the occurrence of DDIs among the respondents with a P-value of 0.00002421. This implies that as the number of prescribe medications increases the chances for occurrence of DDIs increases among these CKD patients. About 77.8% of those CKD patients taking around 1–5 medications are at risk of developing drug-drug interactions while 99.05% of those taking around 6 or more (\geq 6) medications are at risk of developing DDIs (Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, the mean prescribed medications per patient was 10.28±3.85. This is slightly higher than 7.87±2.44 reported by Al-Ramahi et al.¹⁷ However, Rama et al¹⁶ reported a higher mean prescribed drugs per patient of 12.08±6.30 compared to the finding in this present study. Our study population involved both nondialyzed and dialyzed patients unlike the study by Al-Ramahi that involved only CKD patients on maintenance hemodialysis (MHD). Patients who are on regular MHD may require less number of drugs for blood pressure control; hence, this may possibly explain the lower mean number of prescribed medications. The practice of polypharmacy in the management of CKD is however not surprising because they have high number of cardiovascular risk factors, comorbidities, and complications such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, arrhythmias, anemia, hyperuricemia, and calcium-phosphate abnormalities, which are managed by a combination of drugs.

The most common comorbidities in this study were hypertension and diabetes, which agreed with previous studies.^{19,20,29} This can be attributed to the fact that both conditions are the leading etiologies of CKD in Nigeria, Sub-Saharan West Africa region, and worldwide.

This study showed that the prevalence of potential DDIs among the studied CKD patients is 78.0% with ~62.7% showing moderate clinical significance. Most of the DDIs had delayed onset and would require long-time follow-up in order to actually determine the clinically significant outcome of these interactions. The average number of DDIs per prescription in this study was 1.5, which is similar to the report by Sgnaolin et al¹⁵ (1.0) but lower than the number reported by Rama et al¹⁶ (2.7) and Marquito et al¹⁹ (2.5). This showed that there was likelihood of at least a potential DDI for each prescription issued out to a CKD patient in this study. This therefore emphasized the need for physicians and clinical pharmacists to regularly evaluate prescriptions of CKD patients for DDI.

The prevalence of potential DDIs in this study was 78.0%, which fell in between the range of 76.1–89.1% reported in some previous studies.¹⁶⁻²⁰ However, the reported prevalence in this study was higher than 56.9%, which was reported by Sgnaolin et al.¹⁵

Differences in methodology, average number of medications per prescription, and CKD stage of the studied population could account for variation in the prevalence rates of potential DDIs in the different studies. For example, in the study by Sgnaolin et al¹⁵ where a lower prevalence of potential DDIs was reported compared to this study, the average number of medications per prescription was 6.3±3.1 unlike



Figure I Mechanism of drug-drug interactions in the study population.

Pattern of specific drug interactions	Ν	%	Mechanism of interaction	Potential adverse effects
CaCO ₃ + OFS	184	9.94	Pharmacokinetic, types B and C	CaCO ₃ will decrease intestinal absorption of OFS by increasing GIT pH and vice versa
Folic acid + furosemide	63	3.40	Pharmacokinetic, type B	Furosemide increases renal clearance of folic acid
α -Calcidol + CaCO ₃	60	3.24	Pharmacokinetic, type C	Hypercalcemia
OFS + vitamin E	56	3.03	Pharmacokinetic, type C	Vitamin E decreases GIT absorption of OFS
CaCO ₃ + furosemide	49	2.65	Pharmacokinetic, type B	Furosemide increases renal clearance of calcium
Furosemide + lisinopril	49	2.65	Pharmacodynamics, type C	Acute hypotension and renal insufficiency
Furosemide + HCTZ	42	2.27	Pharmacodynamics, type C	Hypokalemia, acute hypotension, and renal insufficiency
Folic acid + HCTZ	35	1.89	Pharmacokinetic, type B	HCTZ increases renal clearance of folic acid
Heparin + Vitamin E	35	1.89	Pharmacodynamics, Type B	Increase risk of bleeding/hemorrhage due to their anticoagulant effect
Heparin + lisinopril	34	1.84	Pharmacodynamics, type C	Low molecular weight heparin may suppress adrenocortical aldosterone secretion, thereby leading to hyperkalemia
Amlodipine + CaCO ₃	32	1.73	Pharmacodynamics, type C	CaCaO ₃ antagonizes and decreases the vasodilatory effect of amlodipine on the small arteries, thereby reducing the antihypertensive effect
CaCO ₃ + lisinopril	31	1.67	Pharmacodynamics, type C	CaCaO ₃ antagonizes and decreases the vasodilatory effect of lisinopril on the small arteries, thereby reducing the antihypertensive effect

Table 5 Pattern of distribution for common specific drug-drug interactions and their potential adverse effects

Abbreviations: GIT, gastrointestinal tract; HCTZ, hydrochlorthiazide; OFS, oral ferrous sulfate.

this present study where the average number of medications per prescription was 10.28 ± 3.85 . The number of medications per prescription has been reported as one of the major determinants of potential DDIs.^{15,18,20}

The most frequently prescribed medications in this study were furosemide, heparin, lisinopril, and oral CaCO₃. This agreed with previous studies where furosemide and oral CaCO₃ were also reported as among the most frequently prescribed medications for CKD patients.^{17,19}

The most frequent DDI in this study was between oral $CaCO_3$ and OFS. The intestinal absorption of oral iron may be reduced when coadministered with oral $CaCO_3$ because of the effect of the latter in increasing the gastrointestinal pH. This is similar to findings from studies by Hedge et al and

Sgnaolin et al.^{15,20} They reported interactions between oral $CaCO_3$ and OFS as among the most frequently occurring DDIs in their studies. However, report from this present study differs from that of Rama et al.¹⁶ and Al-Ramahi et al.¹⁷ Rama et al.¹⁶ reported ascorbic acid and cyanocobalomin, while Al-Ramahi et al.¹⁷ reported $CaCO_3$ and amlodipine as the most frequent interaction in their respective studies. Furthermore, interactions between $CaCO_3$ and some antihypertensives such as lisinopril and amlodipine observed in this study may also lead to a reduction in their antihypertensive effects by antagonizing their vasodilatory effects on the small arteriolar blood vessels.

Regarding the level of clinically significant interactions, majority 1160 (62.7%) of the interactions were of moderate severity (type C). This is similar to previous reports.^{15,16,19,20} In this study, the prevalence of type X interaction (contraindication/ avoid drug combination) was 0.1%, which was only found in one subject. This is comparable to 0.4% reported by Marquito et al.¹⁹

This finding is however at variance to that of Saleem et al¹⁸ who reported that 13.4% of potential DDIs observed in their study as avoid drug combination. The only type X interaction in this study occurred between intravenous calcium gluconate and intravenous ceftriaxone, which carries the potential risk of fatal particulate precipitation and deposition in the lungs and kidneys. The administration interval between intravenous ceftriaxone and any intravenous calcium-containing solutions (such as Ringer's solution, Hartman's solution, and intravenous calcium gluconate) must be separated by at least 48 hours apart.

A slightly higher proportion 960 (52%) of potential DDIs was found to be from pharmacokinetic interactions. This is different from the reports in other studies where pharmacodynamic interactions were found to be predominant.^{16,20} Also, majority of the potential DDIs were of delayed onset, which is similar to report by Rama et al.¹⁶ The clinical significance of this is that the patients may not manifest the effects of DDI early, hence the need for long-term follow-up of such patients.

In addition, there was a statistically significant association between the number of prescribed medications and the eGFR (pre-ESRD and ESRD staging) for the respondents in this study with a *P*-value of 0.00000119. This implies that the number of prescribed medications increases as the eGFR declines in advance CKD stage patients. This finding also agreed with previous studies by Sgnaolin et al,¹⁵ Rama et al,¹⁶ and Marquito et al.¹⁹ Furthermore, there was also a statistically significant association between the number of prescribed medications and the occurrence of DDIs among the respondents with a *P*-value of 0.00002421. This implies that as the number of prescribed medications increases, the chances for occurrence of DDIs increases among these CKD patients. About 77.8% of those CKD patients taking around 1–5 medications are at risk of developing DDIs (compared to the value of 50% in previous reference literature) while 99.05% of those taking around 6 or more (\geq 6) medications are at risk of developing drug-drug interactions (compared to the value of 100% in previous reference literature).^{15,16,19,20}

The limitation of this study was that potential DDIs detected in this study were theoretically discovered and may not manifest clinically. Also, the Medscape drug interaction checker used in this study did not take into consideration the prescribed dose, frequency of administration, route of administration, and duration of medication use.

However, this study has brought to limelight the magnitude of potential DDIs among CKD patients and the need to take proactive steps to reduce these additional burdens on our patients.

Conclusion

The number of prescribed medications increases as the eGFR declines in advance CKD stage patients. The practice of polypharmacy and prevalence of potential DDIs are high among these CKD patients. Most of these interactions have moderate severity and delayed onset, hence the need to follow-up these patients after prescription in order to reduce associated morbidity, mortality, and health care costs. Physicians and clinical pharmacists should utilize available interaction software in order to detect and avoid harmful DDIs in our patients.

Recommendations

- Physicians and clinical pharmacists should make use of available interaction software to check all prescribed medications for the presence of potentially significant/ harmful interactions.
- 2. Cordial integrated relationship between health care professionals (nephrologists/physicians, nephrology nurses, and clinical pharmacists) should be encouraged in order to optimize CKD patients' care and to reduce the occurrence of harmful drug interactions in them.
- 3. Whenever clinical pharmacists who are involved in the rational dispensing of CKD patients' medications detect the presence of any harmful potential DDIs in their prescription, he/she should alert the doctor(s) involved and inform them of the impending problem so that feasible alternative prescriptions can be made and the error corrected as this will involve more cost in pharmacist and doctor's time, but the hospital should make this mandatory. This approach will save lives and reduce morbidity, mortality, frequency of hospitalization, length of hospital stay, and health care costs.

Acknowledgment

The authors specially thank Prof SO Olayemi (Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics) and Dr RW Braimoh (Department of Internal Medicine), University of Lagos, Lagos State Nigeria, for their immense support, advice, and contribution toward the success of this research work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Fatoba ST, Oke JL, Hirst JA, O'Callaghan CA, Lesserson DS, Hobbs FD. Global prevalence of chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(7):e0158765.
- Kadiri S, Arije A. Temporal variations and meteorological factors in hospital admissions of chronic renal failure in South West Nigeria. West Afr J Med. 1999;18(1):49–51.
- Akinsola W, Odesanmi WO, Ogunniyi JO, Ladipo GO. Diseases causing chronic renal failure in Nigerians – a prospective study of 100 cases. *Afr J Med Med Sci.* 1989;18(2):131–137.
- Ulasi II, Ijoma CK, Onodugo OD, Arodiwe EB, Ifebunandu NA, Okoye JU. Towards prevention of chronic kidney disease in Nigeria: a community-based study in Southeast Nigeria. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2013;3(2): 195–201.
- Oluyombo R, Ayodele OE, Akinwusi PO, et al. A community study of the prevalence, risk factors and pattern of chronic kidney disease in Osun State, South West Nigeria. *West Afr J Med.* 2013;32(2):85–92.
- Liu M, Li XC, Lu L, et al. Cardiovascular disease and its relationship with chronic kidney disease. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2014;18(19): 2918–2926.
- Levin A, Hemmelgarn B, Culleton B, et al. Guidelines for the management of chronic kidney disease. *CMAJ*. 2008;179(11):1154–1162.
- Keith DS, Nichols GA, Gullion CM, Brown JB, Smith DH. Longitudinal follow-up and outcomes among a population with chronic kidney disease in a large managed care organization. *Arch Intern Med.* 2004;164(6):659–663.
- Anavekar NS, Pfeffer MA. Cardiovascular risk in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2004;92:111–115.
- Babua C, Kalyesubula R, Okello E, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors among patients with chronic kidney disease attending a tertiary hospital in Uganda. *Cardiovasc J Afr.* 2015;26(4):177–180.
- Edwards IR, Aronson JK. Adverse drug reactions: definitions, diagnosis, and management. *Lancet*. 2000;356(9237):1255–1259.
- Pirmohamod M, James S, Meakin S, Green C, Scott AK, Walley TJ. Drug-drug interaction as cause of admission to hospital: prospective analysis of 18820 patients. *Br Med J*. 2004;329:5–19.

- Lazarou J, Pomeranz BH, Corey PN. Incidence of adverse drug reactions in hospitalized patients: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *JAMA*. 1998;279(15):1200–1205.
- Al-Hajje AH, Atoui F, Awada S, Rachidi S, Zein S, Salameh P. Drugrelated problems identified by clinical pharmacist's students and pharmacist's interventions. *Ann Pharm Fr.* 2012;70(3):169–176.
- Sgnaolin V, Sgnaolin V, Engroff P, De Carli A, Figueiredo AE. Assessment of used medications and drug-drug interactions among chronic renal failure patients. *Sci Med.* 2014;24(4):329–335.
- Rama M, Viswanathan G, Acharya LD, Attur RP, Reddy PN, Raghavan SV. Assessment of drug-drug interactions among renal failure patients of nephrology ward in a South Indian tertiary care hospital. *Indian J Pharm Sci.* 2012;74(1):63–68.
- Al-Ramahi R, Raddad AR, Rashed AO, et al. Evaluation of potential drug-drug interactions among Palestinian hemodialysis patients. *BMC Nephrol.* 2016;17:96.
- Saleem A, Mashood I, Khan TM. Clinical relevancy and determinants of potential drug-drug interactions in chronic kidney disease patients: result from a retrospective analysis. *Integr Pharm Res Pract*. 2017;6:71–77.
- Marquito AB, Fernandes NM, Colugnati FAB, de Paula RB. Identifying potential drug interactions in chronic kidney disease patients. *J Bras Nefrol.* 2014;36(1):26–34.
- Hedge S, Udaykumar P, Manjuprasad MS. Potential drug interactions in chronic kidney disease patients. A cross-sectional study. *Int J Recent Trends Sci Technol.* 2015;16:56–60.
- Shad MU, Marsh C, Preskorn SH. The economic consequences of drug-drug interaction. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2001;21(1):119–120.
- 22. Moura CS, Acurcio FA, Belo NO. Drug-drug interactions associated with length of hospital stay and cost of hospitalization. *J Pharm Pharm Sci.* 2009;12(3):266–272.
- Fernández-Llimós F, Tuneu L, Baena MI, Garcia-Delgado A, Faus MJ. Morbidity and mortality associated with pharmacotherapy. Evolution and current concept of drug-related problems. *Curr Pharm Des*. 2004;10(31):3947–3967.
- Mason NA. Polypharmacy and medication-related complications in the chronic kidney disease patient. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2011;20(5):492–497.
- Cardone KE, Bacchus S, Assimon MM, Pai AB, Manley HJ. Medicationrelated problems in chronic kidney disease. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2010;17(5):404–412.
- Stemer G, Lemmens-Gruber R. Clinical pharmacy activities in chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease patients: a systematic literature review. *BMC Nephrol.* 2011;12:35.
- International Society of Nephrology. Kidney disease improving global outcome (KDIGO) 2012 clinical practice guideline for evaluation and management of CKD. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2013;3:1–150.
- Roblek T, Trobec K, Mrhar A, Lainscak M. Potential drug-drug interactions in hospitalized patients with chronic heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Arch Med Sci.* 2014;10(5):920–932.
- Elam-Org S, Sitprija V. Co-morbidities in patients with end stage renal disease in developing countries. *Artif Organs*. 2002;26(9):753–756.

Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes

Clinical Pharmacology: Advances and Applications

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Pharmacology: Advances and Applications is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal publishing original research, reports, reviews and commentaries on all areas of drug experience in humans. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. **Dove**press

n, reports, from published authors. humans.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-pharmacology-advances-and-applications-journal